

The Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Tax (Sugar Tax): Innovation and Stasis

F. Houghton¹, J.M. Stritch¹, J. Auerbach², D. Houghton³, M. Daly⁴.

1. Department of Applied Social Sciences, Technological University of the Shannon, Moylish Campus, Moylish Park, Co. Limerick, Ireland.
2. School of Geography, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.
3. Faculty of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences, University of Limerick, Castletroy, Co. Limerick, Ireland.
4. School of Applied Psychology, University College Cork, College Rd., Co. Cork, Ireland.

Dear Editor,

The 2024 review of the implementation of Ireland's Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Tax (SSBT), or Sugar Tax as it is more popularly termed, noted a substantial reduction in sugar consumption from carbonated soft drinks¹. Much of this success was attributed to industry reformulation, which reduced the sugar content of many popular brands to below the tax threshold (5g of sugar per 100ml)¹. Ireland currently operates a three-tier model of SSBT, with taxes charged at either the 0% rate (0.0g-4.99g of sugar per 100 ml), the lower rate (5g – 7.99g of sugar per 100ml), or the higher rate ($\geq$ 8g of sugar per 100ml)¹. It must be acknowledged that the amounts charged under Ireland's SSBT are modest at either 5 cents or 8 cents per 330ml can. As noted by Lombard & Koekemoer, Ireland's stepped form of SSBT encourages such reformulation to levels below the tax threshold².

As can be seen from Table 1, population-adjusted SSBT receipts per capita over the last three years are relatively static. However, the threat posed by overweight and obesity remains, notably in relation to cancer, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes¹. These potential physiological consequences may be accompanied by serious mental health impacts of obesity related to societal stigma and discrimination¹.

Table 1: Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Tax Returns 2018-2025

Year	SSBT Lower Rate (€ millions)	SSBT Higher Rate	SSBT Total	Population	SSBT per capita (€)
2018*	€1.784m	€14.547m	€16.331m	4,857,015	€3.36
2019	€3.476m	€29.568m	€33.044m	4,934,340	€6.70
2020	€3.533m	€27.763m	€31.296m	4,985,282	€6.28

2021	€1.934m	€28.507m	€30.441m	5,033,164	€6.05
2022	€0.58m	€31.414m	€31.994m	5,184,000	€6.17
2023	€0.583m	€28.352m	€28.935m	5,281,600	€5.48
2024	€0.571m	€29.947m	€30.518m	5,380,300	€5.67
2025**	€0.922m	€29.605m	€30.527m	5,458,600	€5.59

*Part of 2018; **Provisional

Given the apparent levelling off in per capita SSBT returns in Ireland, it may be opportune to examine developments in the UK³. The UK has adopted a twin-track approach in relation to its SSBT. Firstly, it has extended the reach of its SSBT to include previously exempt milk and milk substitute drinks, albeit with a lactose allowance for naturally occurring sugars. However, and perhaps more importantly, the UK has introduced a stepped reduction program whereby the lower SSBT threshold will reduce from 5g to 2.5g over ten years, with 0.5g reductions per 100ml every two years³.

Given Ireland's previous success in industry reformulation through its SSBT, the Irish Government should consider adopting a similar stepped reduction program for its SSBT. To be impactful, the SSBT should also be increased in absolute terms and subject to annual inflationary adjustments. This is important, as evidence suggests that the current SSBT's pass-through rate to customers is limited⁴. The negative physical and mental health impacts of obesity and overweight necessitate further targeted interventions. In the longer term the introduction of a sugar tax on sweets and confectionary is an obvious goal. However, in the short term, a similar extension of the SSBT to encompass a wider range of high-sugar milk and milk-substitute drinks is also advocated.

Declarations of Conflicts of Interest:

None declared.

Corresponding Author:

Frank Houghton,
Department of Applied Social Sciences,
Technological University of the Shannon,
Moylish Campus,
Moylish Park,
Co. Limerick,
Ireland.

E-Mail: Frank.Houghton@tus.ie

References:

1. Houghton F, Stritch JM, Auerbach J. *Evaluation of Ireland's Sugar-Sweetened Drinks' Tax (SSDT)*. Munster Research Consultancy. Accessed February 16, 2026. <https://assets.gov.ie/static/documents/evaluation-of-irelands-sugarsweetened-drinks-tax-ssdt.pdf>
2. Lombard M, Koekemoer A. Conceptual framework for the evaluation of sugar tax systems. *South African Journal of Accounting Research*. 2020;34(1):63-90. doi:10.1080/10291954.2019.1667637
3. Strengthening the Soft Drinks Industry Levy — Summary of responses. GOV.UK. Accessed February 17, 2026. <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-the-soft-drinks-industry-levy/outcome/strengthening-the-soft-drinks-industry-levy-summary-of-responses>
4. Houghton F, Moran Stritch J, Auerbach J, Daly M, Houghton D. Exploring the sugar-sweetened beverage tax (SSBT) pass-through rate in the Irish hospitality sector. *BMC Public Health*. 2024;24(1):2360. doi:10.1186/s12889-024-19891-y